# Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in Food Using Real-Time Multiplex PCR Assays Targeting the $stx_1$ , $stx_2$ , $wzy_{O157}$ , and the *fliC*<sub>h7</sub> or *eae* Genes

Pina M. Fratamico · Chitrita DebRoy

Received: 9 February 2010 / Accepted: 25 March 2010 © US Government 2010

Abstract Escherichia coli O157:H7 is an important foodborne pathogen, and foods of bovine origin and fresh produce have been linked to outbreaks. Real-time multiplex PCR assays were developed to detect E. coli O157:H7 in different foods. Apple cider and raw milk (25 ml) and ground beef and lettuce (25 g) were inoculated with 2 or 20 colony-forming units (CFU) of E. coli O157:H7 380-94 and subjected to enrichment in RapidChek E. coli O157:H7 broth at 42°C. One milliliter of the enrichments was removed at 8 and 20 h, and following DNA extraction, real-time multiplex PCR assays targeting the  $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ , and  $wzy_{0157}$  genes in combination with probes and primers targeting either the  $fliC_{h7}$  or the *eae* genes were performed using OmniMix HS beads and the SmartCycler. The sensitivity of the real-time multiplex PCR assay was about 225 CFU/PCR. E. coli O157:H7 was detected (fluorescent signal generated for all gene targets) in apple cider, raw milk, lettuce and ground beef samples inoculated with 2 or 20 CFU/g or 25 ml after both 8 and 20 h of enrichment. Enrichments of uninoculated food samples were negative using the multiplex PCR targeting the  $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ ,  $wzy_{O157}$ , and *eae* genes; however, using the assay targeting the  $stx_1$ ,

Mention of trade names or commercial products is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the US Department of Agriculture.

P. M. Fratamico (⊠)
Eastern Regional Research Center, US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service,
600 East Mermaid Lane,
Wyndmoor, PA 19038, USA
e-mail: pina.fratamico@ars.usda.gov

#### C. DebRoy

*E. coli* Reference Center, Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA  $stx_2$ ,  $wzy_{O157}$ , and  $fliC_{h7}$  gene combination, a positive result was always obtained for the  $fliC_{h7}$  gene using uninoculated ground beef enrichments. Use of other primer sets targeting the  $fliC_{h7}$  gene gave similar results. The real-time multiplex PCR assays targeting the  $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ , *eae*, and  $wzy_{O157}$  or the  $fliC_{h7}$  genes are sensitive and specific and can be used for the detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in food, except that the  $fliC_{h7}$  gene may not be a suitable target for the detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in ground beef.

**Keywords** Food · Detection · *E. coli* O157:H7 · Multiplex PCR · Real-time

#### Introduction

Escherichia coli O157:H7 is an important foodborne pathogen associated with sporadic cases and outbreaks of bloody diarrhea, which can progress to a serious complication known as hemolytic uremic syndrome, particularly in the young and the elderly. Cattle are the most important reservoir for E. coli O157:H7; however, the pathogen has been isolated from other animals, including deer, goats, horses, dogs, and pigs (Smith and Fratamico 2005). Produce can become contaminated in the field from animals, manure, or contaminated irrigation water. Outbreaks associated with E. coli O157:H7 have been linked to food of bovine origin, including ground beef and raw milk hard cheese, and also to produce, apple cider, and drinking and recreational water (Anonymous 2000; Hilborn et al. 2000; Olsen et al. 2002; Sivapalasingam et al. 2004; Honish et al. 2005; Rangel et al. 2005; CDC 2006; Wendel et al. 2009)

Rapid and reliable methods for the detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in different food products are needed to ensure food safety. The combination of rapidity, good sensitivity and specificity, and ease of performance has made PCR

technology an appealing alternative to culture-based and immunological-based methods for pathogen detection in foods. However, since the PCR is often inhibited by components in food samples and other complex matrices, sample preparation methods, such as filtration or DNA extraction, are routinely performed on food enrichments before target DNA amplification (Rudi et al. 2002). Realtime PCR, which involves the use of fluorescent probes or DNA-binding dyes in the reaction, offers several advantages over traditional end-point PCR methods. The closedtube detection format lowers the potential for carryover contamination and false positive results, there is a shorter analytical turnaround time and generally higher sensitivity and specificity than end-point assays, and there is no need for post-PCR processing steps to detect the PCR product/s.

Shiga toxins [Shiga toxin 1 ( $stx_1$ ) and Shiga toxin 2 ( $stx_2$ )] are the most critical virulence factors associated with the clinical characteristics of E. coli O157:H7 infection; however, other important virulence genes include the eae gene (encoding the intimin outer membrane protein) located in the locus of enterocyte effacement (Gyles 2007). PCR assays targeting the Shiga toxin genes have been developed for the detection of E. coli O157:H7 (Fode-Vaughan et al. 2003); however, these assays are not specific for this pathogen, since other Shiga toxin-producing E. coli will also be detected. More definitive identification of E. coli O157:H7 can be accomplished by amplification of pathogen-specific sequences in addition to the stx genes using multiplex PCR assays. Advantages of multiplex PCR assays, in which two or more DNA regions are co-amplified in one reaction, are lower cost and less time to obtain results. Real-time multiplex assays are performed using multiple dyes with distinct emission wavelengths, and a number of multiplex real-time PCR assays have been described for the detection and quantification of pathogens in food (Hong et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2007; Omiccioli et al. 2009). In the present study, real-time PCR assays using two combinations of primers and probes were designed for the detection of E. coli O157:H7 in apple cider, raw ground beef, lettuce, and raw milk. The first assay targeted the wzy<sub>O157</sub> gene in the E. coli O157 O antigen gene cluster, which encodes for the O antigen polymerase, the  $stx_1$  and  $stx_2$  genes, and the gene encoding the H7 flagellin ( $fliC_{h7}$ ). The second assay targeted the  $wzy_{O157}$ ,  $stx_1$  and  $stx_2$ , and the *eae* genes.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Bacterial Strains**

The bacteria used in this study included *E. coli* O157:H7 strains 380-94 (Food Safety and Inspection Service, salami, outbreak strain), C984 [Centers for Disease Control and

Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA, clinical isolatel, B1409-C1 (CDC, clinical isolate), E. coli standard H types (H1 through H56, except H13, H22 and H50 that are cancelled H types), and E. coli serogroups O1 through O173, but excluding O14, O31, O47, O67, O72, O93, O94, and O122 strains, since these serogroup designations have been cancelled, and OX3, OX6, OX7, OX9, OX10, OX13, OX18, OX19, OX21, OX23, OX25, OX28, OX38, and OX43 strains. Twenty-five non-O157 Shiga toxinproducing E. coli and 20 non-E. coli bacteria, including Bacillus cereus, Citrobacter freundii, Enterobacter cloacae, Enterococcus aerogenes, Enterococcus faecalis, Hafnia alvei, Klebsiella pneumonia, Listeria monocytogenes, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris, Salmonella enterica subsp. Anatum, Arizona, Choleraesuis, Enteritidis, and Typhimurium, Serratia marcescens, Shigella boydii, Staphylococus aureus, Vibrio cholerae, and Yersinia enterocolitica were also tested. The bacteria were routinely grown in tryptic soy broth (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA) or on tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates.

# Multiplex PCR Assays and Determination of PCR Sensitivity

Primers and probes were designed using the Primer3 software program (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/) and are shown in Table 1. *E. coli* O157:H7 strains 380-94 (carries  $stx_1$  and  $stx_2$ ), C984 (carries  $stx_1$ ), and B1409-C1 (carries  $stx_2$ ), and other bacteria listed above were grown on TSA plates overnight, and DNA was extracted using the PrepMan Ultra reagent (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions from colonies resuspended in 1 ml of sterile water and then subjected to centrifugation at 16,000×g for 2 min. Approximately 150 µl of the supernatant containing the DNA were collected and either used immediately or stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until testing.

To determine the sensitivity of the multiplex PCR assays, 1 ml of an overnight culture containing about 10<sup>9</sup>CFU/ml was subjected to DNA extraction using PrepMan Ultra, and then tenfold serial dilutions of the DNA were made. To determine the CFU per milliliter of E. coli O157:H7, the bacterial suspension was diluted and plated onto TSA, and colonies were counted after overnight incubation. The PCR was performed using OmniMix HS Reagent beads (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) that were reconstituted as directed by the manufacturer and consisted of 1.5 U TaKaRa hot start Taq polymerase, 200 µM dNTP, 4 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 25 mM HEPES, pH 8.0. Two multiplex PCR assays were performed. For assay 1, the target sequences were the  $wzy_{O157}$ ,  $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ , and *eae* genes (sizes of PCR products were 112, 200, 140, and 167 bp, respectively), and for assay 2, the target genes were  $wzy_{0157}$ ,  $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ , and  $fliC_{h7}$  (sizes of products were 112, 200, 140, and 247 bp, respectively). For assay 1, 0.1  $\mu$ M of primers for *eae*, stx<sub>1</sub>, and stx<sub>2</sub>, 0.3  $\mu$ M of primers for wzy<sub>0157</sub>, and 0.125  $\mu$ M of each of the probes were used (Table 1). Whereas for assay 2, 0.25  $\mu$ M of each of the primers and 0.125  $\mu$ M of the probes were used. To 22.5 µl of the reaction mixture, 2.5 µl of template DNA were added. The PCR was performed using the SmartCycler (Cepheid, Sunnyvale. CA, USA), and the cycling protocol consisted of 94°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 50 s. The standard curves were generated by plotting the log value of the calculated CFU per reaction corresponding to the diluted DNA samples versus the threshold cycle (Ct), which is defined as the cycle where the fluorescence signal crosses a defined threshold (set at 30 fluorescence units).

The detection limit was also determined by adding dilutions of *E. coli* O157:H7 to food enrichments. Dilutions were made from an overnight culture of *E. coli* O157:H7 380-94, and 100  $\mu$ l were added to 900  $\mu$ l aliquots of uninoculated ground beef, raw milk, lettuce, and apple

cider enrichments for final concentrations ranging from  $10^8$  to  $10^1$  CFU/ml. The DNA was extracted using the PrepMan Ultra reagent as described above, and 2.5 µl of template DNA were used in the multiplex PCR assays. The limit of detection of the assays was determined as an average of the minimum number of CFU per PCR reaction required for a positive Ct value over the threshold for all of the fluorescence signals.

## PCR Specificity Testing

The primers targeting the *E. coli* O157 *wzy* gene were tested against the *E. coli* reference standard strains, *E. coli* O157:H7 strains, and the non-*E. coli* bacteria listed above using only the primers targeting the  $wzy_{O157}$  gene in the PCR. The specificity of the  $fliC_{h7}$  primers was tested using *E. coli* strains belonging to all of the known H types, as described above, using only the primers targeting  $fliC_{h7}$ . The reaction and cycling conditions were as described above for the multiplex PCR. The *eae*,  $stx_1$ , and  $stx_2$  primers were tested for specificity against 25 non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* and 20 non-*E. coli* bacteria.

Table 1	Oligonucleotide	primers an	d probes	used ir	n the	real-time	multiplex	PCR	assays	for	detection	of E	. coli	0157	7:H7
---------	-----------------	------------	----------	---------	-------	-----------	-----------	-----	--------	-----	-----------	------	--------	------	------

	Primer sequences 5' to 3'	PCR product size	GenBank accession no.
Primer sets			
EAE2325-F EAE2491-R	GTAAGTCTCAAACGCAAGCAACCAC AACCTTGTTGTCAATTTTCAGTTCATCA	167	X60439
FLICH71068-F FLICH71314-R	TACCATCGCAAAAGCAACTCC GTCGGCAACGTTAGTGATACC	247	U47614
Stx1-418-F Stx1-617-R	CTCGACTGCAAAGACGTATG TTCGTTCAACAATAAGCCGTAGATT	200	M16626
Stx2-314-F Stx2-453-R	ACGATAGACTTTTCGACCCAACAA AAATAACTGCCCGGTGGGGT	140	X07865
WzyO157-528-F WzyO157-639-R	CCTGTCAAAGGATAACCGTAATCC TTGTTCTCCGTCTTGTCCTAAACT	112	AF061251
LuxS-F LuxS-R	AGCGATCAAAGCAAAATTCC GGCAATTTGTTTGGCTTCAT	87	DQ143940
PUC19-F PUC19-R	GCAGCCACTGGTAACAGGAT GCAGAGCGCAGATACCAAAT	118	L09137
16SrRNA-IC-F 16SrRNA-IC-R	CCTCTTGCCATCGGATGTG GGCTGGTCATCCTCTCAGACC	99	GU352926
Probes			
EAE-P	5'-TET-CGGCGGAACTGGAAGTTAGTGTTATCGTCG-3'-BHQ1		X60439
FLICH7-P	5'-TET-CGGCTGCCGCGACATCTTCAAT-BHQ1		U47614
Stx1-P	5'-Texas Red-CGCTGAATGTCATTCGCTCTGCA-BHQ2		M16626
Stx2-P	5'-Texas Red-AACAGACACCGATGTGGTCCCCTGAGAT-BHQ2		X07865
WzyO157-P	5'-FAM-AAAACAACGAGCATACAACCCCTACCAAT-BHQ1		AF061251
LuxS IC-P	5'-Cy5-TTAATATCTATCAATGCGGAACTTGCGCAA-3'-BHQ2		DQ143940
PUC19-P	5'-Cy5-AGAGCGAGGTATGTAGGCGG-3'-BHQ2		L09137
16SrRNA-IC-P	5'-Cy5-GTGGGGTAACGGCTCACCTAGGCGAC-3'-BHQ2		GU352926

Inoculation and Enrichment of Food Samples

Apple cider, ground beef (15% fat), and lettuce were purchased from local supermarkets, and the raw milk was obtained from a local agricultural high school. An overnight culture of E. coli 380-94 was serially diluted in 0.1% peptone and then plated onto TSA to determine the CFU per milliliter. In a 500-ml flask, 1 ml of the dilution with about 2 CFU/ml and a dilution with about 20 CFU/ml was inoculated into 25 g or 25 ml of the food samples, and 225 ml of RapidChek E. coli O157:H7 enrichment broth (REB; Strategic Diagnostics, Inc., Newark, DE, USA) were added. Since 2 CFU is a very low inoculum level and to ensure that at least one sample would receive 2 CFU, in each experiment, two samples were inoculated with 1 ml of the dilution containing about 2 CFU, and one sample was inoculated with about 20 CFU. Each experiment also included uninoculated samples. The flasks were incubated at 42°C at 100 rpm. After 8 and 20 h, 10 ml of the enrichments were collected; dilutions were made in 0.1% peptone and plated onto CHROMagar O157 (Dynal Biotech, Inc., Lake Success, NY, USA) and were used for the multiplex PCR assays.

DNA Extraction and Detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in Food Using Real-Time Multiplex PCR Assays

One milliliter of the enrichments was subjected to DNA extraction using the PrepMan Ultra reagent as described earlier, and 2.5  $\mu$ l of the DNA were used as template for the real-time multiplex PCR assays performed as described above using OmniMix HS beads and the primers and probes shown in Table 1.

Use of Non-competitive Internal Controls

Three non-competitive internal controls used for preventing reporting of false negative results due to the presence of PCR inhibitors in food samples were evaluated in the multiplex PCR assays. pYH201 containing the Campylobacter jejuni luxS gene was constructed by Dr. Yiping He (USDA, Eastern Regional Research Center). Briefly, an 87bp product was amplified from C. jejuni 81-176 chromosomal DNA with the LuxS primers shown in Table 1. The PCR product was gel purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and cloned into the pCR2.1-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The resulting plasmid, pYH201, was linearized by digestion with HindIII, and the concentration of the linearized plasmid DNA was determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). To determine the optimal concentration of the internal control for multiplex PCR, serial dilutions of linearized pYH201 were made, and 1 ul of the dilutions was added to the PCR containing 2.5 µl of water or an 8-h uninoculated ground beef enrichment so that the final concentration of pYH201 was  $10^{1}$ - $10^{7}$  copies per PCR. The concentration that resulted in a Ct of about 22-24 was  $10^5$  copies (2 pg); therefore, this concentration of pYH201 (1 µl) and also 0.3 µM of LuxS primers (LuxS-F and LuxS-R) and 0.125 µM of LuxS probe (Table 1) labeled with Cy5 were included in all the multiplex PCR assays. The fluorescent signal generated from the four probes was read in four separate channels in the SmartCycler. The second internal control that was evaluated consisted of pUC19 (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and primers and Cy5-labeled probe targeting the pMB1 replicon rep (Fricker et al. 2007) (Table 1). Primers were used at a concentration of 0.25 µM and the probe at 0.2 µM in the multiplex PCR assays. pUC19 was linearized with EcoRI and used in the PCR in the same way as pYH201. The optimal concentration of linearized pUC19 was  $10^4$  copies/PCR (Ct about 25–27), and the size of the PCR product was 118 bp. The third internal control system targeted the 16S rRNA gene (gamma-proteobacteria) as previously described by Fratamico et al. (2009); however, a probe labeled with Cy5 was used (Table 1). The primers were used at a concentration of 0.1 µM and the probe at 0.03 µM. The Cts for the 16S rRNA internal control were in the range of about 18-24, and the size of the PCR product was 99 bp.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Two real-time multiplex PCR assays were used for the detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in artificially inoculated ground beef, lettuce, raw milk, and apple cider. Primers targeting the  $fliC_{h7}$  and  $wzy_{O157}$  genes were specific for *E. coli* O157 and strains possessing the H7 antigen and the O157 antigen, respectively, and no non-specific results were obtained by the PCR with non-H7 and non-O157 *E. coli* strains or non-*E. coli* bacteria. Likewise, only *E. coli* possessing the  $stx_1$  and  $stx_2$  genes were positive using the multiplex PCR assays, and only *E. coli* O157:H7 and O55: H7, which harbors and *eae* homologue of  $eae_{O157:H7}$  (Sharma and Dean-Nystrom 2003), strains were positive for *eae*. The PCR products obtained using singleplex and multiplex PCR assays are shown in Fig. 1a and b.

Since the multiplex PCR assays employ two sets of primers for the *stx* genes, one targeting *stx*<sub>1</sub> and one targeting *stx*<sub>2</sub>, but the probes are both labeled with the Texas Red dye, the possibility that strains that harbor both *stx*<sub>1</sub> and *stx*<sub>2</sub> will give a stronger signal than strains that possess only one of the toxins was explored. Therefore, three *E. coli* O157:H7 strains, 380-94 (*stx*<sub>1</sub> and *stx*<sub>2</sub>), C984



**Fig. 1** Agarose gels showing results of the multiplex PCR assays. **a** *Lane 1* Multiplex PCR targeting  $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ , *eae*, and  $wzy_{O157}$  genes simultaneously in one reaction tube; *lanes 2–5* singleplex PCR assays targeting the  $stx_2$ ,  $stx_1$ , *eae*, and  $wzy_{O157}$  genes, respectively. **b** *Lanes 1–4* Singleplex PCR assays targeting the  $fliC_{h7}$ ,  $wzy_{O157}$ ,  $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ , genes, respectively; *lane 5* multiplex PCR targeting all four genes in a single reaction tube. **c** Multiplex PCR assays (*eae*,  $wzy_{O157}$ ,  $stx_1$ , and  $stx_2$ ) of enrichments of lettuce samples including the internal control targeting *luxS* (87 bp). *Lane M* 100-bp ladder (Invitrogen); PCR products from DNA from ground beef samples inoculated with 2 CFU and after 8 h enrichment (*lane 1*), 20 CFU after 8 h enrichment (*lane 2*), uninoculated after 20 h enrichment (*lane 3*), and 2 CFU after 20 h enrichment (*lane 4*). Five products are visible: *luxS* internal control

 $(stx_1)$ , and B1409-C1  $(stx_2)$  were tested using the multiplex PCR assays to determine if the presence of only one or both Shiga toxin genes influenced the Ct values. Data from the standard curves that were generated showed that similar Ct values for *stx* were obtained with all three strains (data not shown).

Standard curves were generated to determine the detection limit of the assays. The plots of the log of the initial target copy number for a set of standards (diluted *E. coli* O157:H7 380-94, C984, and B1409-C1 DNA) versus Ct were linear with  $R^2$  values greater than 0.99 over six orders of magnitude  $(10^4-10^9$ CFU/ml) (data not shown). The sensitivity of the multiplex PCR assays, the lowest concentration in which a positive fluorescent signal was generated with all four probes, was about 225 CFU/PCR (2.5 µl of template DNA). The PCR assay was also performed using 1 µl of template DNA, and generally, the same results were obtained as with 2.5 µl of template; therefore, the detection limit may be lower than 225 CFU/PCR. The same results were obtained using dilutions of *E. coli* O157:H7 DNA made in sterile water and using DNA

(87 bp),  $wzy_{O157}$  (112 bp),  $stx_2$ , (140), eae (167 bp), and  $stx_1$  (200 bp). **d** Multiplex PCR assays (*fliC*<sub>h7</sub>,  $wzy_{O157}$ ,  $stx_1$ , and  $stx_2$ ) of enrichments of lettuce samples using the rRNA gene as the internal control. *Lane M* 100-bp ladder; PCR products from DNA from lettuce samples inoculated with 2 CFU and after 8 h enrichment (*lane 1*), 20 CFU after 8 h enrichment (*lane 2*), and 2 CFU after 20 h enrichment (*lane 3*). *Lane 4* Uninoculated ground beef enrichment showing the rRNA internal control band and the  $fliC_{h7}$  gene product. **e** Multiplex PCR assays (*eae*,  $wzy_{O157}$ ,  $stx_1$ , and  $stx_2$ ) of enrichments of lettuce samples using the rRNA gene as the internal control. *Lane M* 100-bp ladder; PCR products from DNA from lettuce samples inoculated with 2 CFU and after 8 h enrichment (*lane 1*), 20 CFU after 70 h enrichments of lettuce samples using the rRNA gene as the internal control. *Lane M* 100-bp ladder; PCR products from DNA from lettuce samples inoculated with 2 CFU and after 8 h enrichment (*lane 1*), 20 CFU after 8 h enrichment (*lane 2*), and 2 CFU after 70 h enrichment (*lane 3*).

extracted from uninoculated food enrichments to which dilutions of *E. coli* O157:H7 were added. Ibeweke et al. (2002) obtained a detection limit of  $\geq 3.5 \times 10^4$  CFU/g for *E. coli* O157:H7 in soil, manure, feces, and wastewater samples using a real-time multiplex PCR assay, and their sensitivity increased to 10 CFU/g with a 16-h enrichment. In the current work, the sensitivity of assays 1 and 2 was  $\leq 2$  CFU/25 g or milliliter of sample after 8 h of enrichment.

The Ct values obtained with real-time multiplex PCR assays 1 and 2 for detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in REB enrichments of artificially inoculated apple cider, ground beef, lettuce, and raw milk samples are shown in Table 2. All results were positive for samples inoculated with about 2 and about 20 CFU/25 g or 25 ml of food after 8 and 20 h of enrichment. For assay 1, all of the uninoculated controls were negative (data not shown). Previous work showed that, overall, a higher sensitivity for the detection of non-stressed, cold-, and freeze-stressed *E. coli* O157:H7 by culture, an immunoassay, and by a PCR assay was achieved using REB compared to R&F *E. coli* O157:H7 enrichment broth, or modified *E. coli* broth with novobiocin (Fratamico

Table 2 Cycle threshold (Ct) values obtained with real-time multiplex PCR assays 1 and 2 using 8- and 20-h food enrichments

Sample type, inoculum level and enrichment	Target genes								
time (cycle infestion value $\pm$ SD)	$\begin{array}{ccc} \hline & \\ wzy_{O157} & eae & stx_1-stx_2 \\ Assay 1 & (Ct \pm SD) \end{array}$			$\begin{array}{ccc} wzy_{O157} & fliC_{h7} & stx_1-s.\\ Assay 2 & (Ct \pm SD) \end{array}$					
Ground beef									
Uninoculated (8 h)					$23.8{\pm}0.7^{a}$				
2 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$25.6 \pm 0.9$	$23.7 {\pm} 0.5$	$23.7 {\pm} 0.9$	$26.3\!\pm\!0.8$	$24.6 {\pm} 0.3$	$23.6 \pm 0.5$			
2 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$26.9 \pm 0.9$	$24.6 \pm 0.9$	$23.3\!\pm\!0.9$	$26.5 \pm 0.6$	$24.2 \pm 0.5$	$24.3\pm0.4$			
20 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$22.3\!\pm\!0.8$	$24.6 \pm 0.9$	$20.2 \pm 0.8$	$23.4 {\pm} 0.5$	$20.5 {\pm} 0.7$	21.5±0.7			
Uninoculated (20 h)					$20.3{\pm}0.6^a$				
2 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$26.2 \pm 0.9$	$25.0 \pm 0.4$	$24.8 \pm 0.3$	$24.9 \pm 0.2$	$21.2 \pm 0.7$	22.0±0.6			
2 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$26.5 {\pm} 0.6$	$24.4 \pm 0.9$	$24.4 \pm 0.2$	$24.9 \pm 0.5$	$21.2 \pm 0.6$	22.2±0.5			
20 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$26.3 \pm 0.4$	$23.2 \pm 0.8$	$23.1 \pm 0.1$	$23.6 {\pm} 0.8$	$18.0 {\pm} 0.7$	$18.1 {\pm} 0.5$			
Raw milk									
2 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$26.4 {\pm} 0.5$	$25.2 \pm 0.9$	$24.7 \pm 0.3$	$27.4 \pm 0.6$	$25.9 {\pm} 0.5$	$26.8 \pm 0.8$			
2 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$25.6 {\pm} 0.6$	$24.4 \pm 0.4$	$24.4 \pm 0.5$	$26.8 {\pm} 0.7$	$25.1 {\pm} 0.6$	25.9±0.9			
20 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$22.5 \pm 0.6$	$21.1 \pm 0.5$	$21.5 \pm 0.3$	$23.5 \pm 0.3$	$22.1 \pm 0.7$	22.8±0.4			
2 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$24.7 \pm 0.2$	$24.4 \pm 0.4$	$23.6 {\pm} 0.1$	$28.1 \pm 0.9$	$27.9 {\pm} 0.7$	26.4±0.4			
2 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$25.1\!\pm\!0.8$	$24.1 {\pm} 0.8$	$24.1 \pm 0.9$	$27.0 \pm 0.3$	$25.9 {\pm} 0.9$	25.3±0.6			
20 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$22.2 \pm 1.0$	$20.9 \pm 0.3$	$21.6 \pm 0.9$	$25.4 \pm 0.8$	$22.9 {\pm} 0.7$	21.6±0.3			
Lettuce									
2 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$20.9{\pm}0.8$	$17.7 \pm 0.5$	$19.3\pm0.2$	$22.9 \pm 0.6$	$23.4 {\pm} 0.4$	$21.0 \pm 0.6$			
2 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$21.7 {\pm} 0.9$	$18.4 {\pm} 0.3$	$20.1 \pm 0.2$	$23.8 {\pm} 0.7$	$24.4 {\pm} 0.5$	$21.4{\pm}0.7$			
20 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$17.4 {\pm} 0.7$	$15.0 {\pm} 0.8$	$15.9 \pm 0.4$	$22.1 \pm 0.7$	$20.2 {\pm} 0.5$	19.4±0.4			
2 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$16.8 {\pm} 0.2$	$15.5 \pm 0.6$	$15.3 \pm 0.0$	$20.4 \pm 0.4$	$21.1 \pm 0.7$	$17.4 \pm 0.4$			
2 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$17.4 {\pm} 0.9$	$16.0 {\pm} 0.9$	$15.5 \pm 0.1$	$21.4 \pm 0.9$	$18.7 {\pm} 0.2$	$16.0 {\pm} 0.3$			
20 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$17.4 \pm 1.0$	15.7±0.3	$15.5 \pm 0.2$	$18.2 \pm 0.6$	$18.0 {\pm} 0.4$	15.6±0.6			
Apple cider									
2 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$20.2 \pm 0.7$	$17.3 \pm 0.6$	$18.1 \pm 0.7$	$22.9 \pm 0.7$	23.8±0.5	$21.1 \pm 0.4$			
2 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$21.5 {\pm} 0.8$	$18.0 {\pm} 0.5$	$19.3 \pm 0.1$	$23.1 {\pm} 0.5$	$24.4 {\pm} 0.7$	$20.7 {\pm} 0.3$			
20 CFU/25 g (8 h)	$18.8 {\pm} 1.0$	$16.0 {\pm} 0.6$	$16.9 \pm 0.3$	$19.9 \pm 0.6$	$22.1 \pm 0.5$	19.8±0.3			
2 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$17.9 {\pm} 0.7$	$16.7 {\pm} 0.9$	$15.7 \pm 0.1$	$17.4 {\pm} 0.8$	$16.2 {\pm} 0.4$	15.3±0.5			
2 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$17.9 {\pm} 1.0$	$16.9 {\pm} 0.8$	$15.7 \pm 0.1$	$17.6 \pm 0.6$	$16.2 {\pm} 0.7$	15.7±0.6			
20 CFU/25 g (20 h)	$19.0\pm0.8$	$16.6 {\pm} 0.5$	$16.0 {\pm} 0.4$	$18.7 {\pm} 0.6$	$16.6 {\pm} 0.2$	15.0±0.4			

Results represent the average of three experiments  $\pm$  standard deviations

<sup>a</sup> Positive results for *fliC*<sub>h7</sub> in uninoculated ground beef samples

and Bagi 2007). Therefore, enrichment in REB at 42°C at 100 rpm allows for relatively rapid growth of *E. coli* O157: H7 in the foods tested, since all samples inoculated with  $\leq$ 2 CFU/25 g or 25 ml of food were positive after 8 h of enrichment. In most cases, the Cts were lower in samples subjected to 20 h of enrichment compared to 8 h. Overall, the Cts from ground beef and raw milk samples were notably higher than those from lettuce and apple cider samples. Lettuce and cider samples enriched for 20 h generated Cts ranging from 15.3 to 19.0, while those from ground beef and raw milk samples from 20.9 to 28.1.

For assay 2, all results were also positive for samples inoculated with about 2 and about 20 CFU/25 g or 25 ml of food after 8 and 20 h enrichment, and uninoculated controls were negative. However, the ground beef uninoculated controls gave a positive result for the  $fliC_{h7}$  target (Table 2; Fig. 1d). Five additional primers sets were designed based on the  $fliC_{h7}$  sequence (GenBank accession no. U47614) and tested in the multiplex PCR using uninoculated ground beef enrichments, and results were always positive. Reid et al. (1999) demonstrated evidence of a mosaic gene structure in the H7 *fliC* gene, indicating the exchange of DNA segments within the gene between strains and recent lateral

gene transfer of the H7 *fliC* gene between bacteria with unrelated chromosomal backgrounds. Therefore, it is possible that other bacteria may have acquired regions of the H7 *fliC* gene. In the current study, specificity testing with FLICH7-1068F and FLICH7-1314R using strains having all of the known *E. coli* H antigens generated negative results; therefore, a positive result with the uninoculated samples may either be due to cross-reactivity with genes in non-*E. coli* bacteria found in ground beef, with *E. coli* strains with an H antigen gene not yet unidentified, or with bacteria that may have acquired regions of the H7 *fliC* gene by horizontal DNA transfer. Therefore, the *fliC*<sub>h7</sub> gene may not be a suitable target for detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in ground beef samples. The nature of this cross-reactivity requires further investigation.

The presence of inhibitors of the PCR, such as bilirubin, bile salts, hemoglobin degradation products, polyphenolic compounds, proteinases, complex polysaccharides, calcium, and fat found in food and other complex matrices, and problems with reproducibility have, to some extent, prevented wide acceptance of PCR-based methods for food testing. To address these concerns, a research project, known as FOOD-PCR (http://www.PCR.dk), was approved by the European Commission in 1999 to validate and standardize the use of PCR for detection of pathogens in foods (Malorny et al. 2003). A number of strategies have been employed to minimize PCR problems associated with interfering substances, including filtration, centrifugation, DNA extraction, and the addition of amplification facilitators to the reaction (Al-Soud and Rådström 2000; Rädström et al. 2003). Hoorfar et al. (2004) call attention to the importance of using an internal amplification control as an indicator of PCR failure. They recommended that the internal amplification control should be plasmid DNA carrying a cloned control sequence or purified PCR products and also that the control sequence be detected by sequence-dependent hybridization probes or gel electrophoresis. The 16S rRNA gene of eubacteria was used as an internal control in a PCR assay targeting histamine- and tyramine-producing bacteria, and unmodified pUC19 targeting rep of pMB1 has also been used as an internal amplification control (Coton and Coton 2005; Fricker et al. 2007). We investigated the use of three non-competitive internal amplification controls (targeting the C. jejuni luxS gene, the pMB1 replicon rep in pUC19, and the 16S rRNA gene) in the multiplex PCR assays. All of the multiplex PCR reactions, including the uninoculated controls, generated a positive signal from the internal controls. The probes targeting the internal control sequences were labeled with Cy5, and the probes targeting the E. coli O157:H7 genes were labeled with the reporter dyes, FAM (wzy<sub>0157</sub>), TET (*eae* or  $fliC_{h7}$ ), and Texas Red ( $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ ). Therefore, in samples positive for E. coli O157:H7 a fluorescence signal is generated in all four channels of the SmartCvcler. A specific concentration of linearized plasmids containing the luxS and pMB1 replicon rep targets was added to the PCR assays as internal control templates; however, when the rRNA gene is used as the internal control template DNA, bacterial DNA naturally present in the tested food sample provides the target DNA, and the concentration would thus be variable in different samples. The *luxS* internal control sequence is derived from the C. jejuni luxS gene, and it is possible that C. jejuni may be found in some food samples; however, C. jejuni will not likely grow well in REB under aerobic conditions. The pMB1 replicon rep of pUC19 would not normally be found in the tested food sample, and an optimal concentration of target DNA can be determined and added to the PCR assays, which is an advantage of using pUC19. PCR products obtained following multiplex PCR assays of ground beef and lettuce enrichments using the luxS and rRNA gene internal controls are shown in Fig. 1c-e.

In summary, the real-time multiplex PCR assays targeting the  $wzy_{O157}$ ,  $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ , and *eae* or  $fliC_{h7}$  genes were sensitive and specific and can be used for the detection of ≤2 CFU of *E. coli* O157:H7 in 25 g or 25 ml of apple cider, raw milk, lettuce, and ground beef after 8 h of enrichment in REB. Use of the non-competitive internal amplification control ensures that a failed reaction is not due to inhibition due to food components, problems with instrumentation, or operator error. The assay targeting  $wzy_{0157}$ ,  $stx_1$ ,  $stx_2$ , and  $fliC_{h7}$  may not be suitable for the detection of the pathogen in ground beef since a positive signal was obtained for  $fliC_{h7}$  in uninoculated samples. However, if a fluorescent signal is obtained only for the  $fliC_{h7}$  target and not for  $stx_1$  $stx_2$  or  $wzy_{O157}$ , it is likely that the sample is not contaminated with E. coli O157:H7. The real-time multiplex PCR assays are also being evaluated for testing for E. coli O157:H7 in other types of foods and in environmental samples.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to Drs. Yiping He and Chin-yi Chen (USDA, ARS, ERRC, Wyndmoor, PA, USA) for providing the plasmid used for the internal control and Dr. Xianghe Yan, Terence Strobaugh, and Lori Bagi (USDA, ARS, ERRC, Wyndmoor, PA, USA) for their technical assistance.

#### References

- Al-Soud WA, Rådström P (2000) J Clin Microbiol 38:4463-4470
- Anonymous (2000) Can Commun Dis Rep 26:170–173
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2006) Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 55:1045–1046
- Coton E, Coton M (2005) J Microbiol Methods 63:296-304
- Fode-Vaughan KA, Maki JS, Benson JA, Collins ML (2003) Lett Appl Microbiol 37:239–243
- Fratamico PM, Bagi LK (2007) J Food Prot 70:1663-1669

Fratamico PM, DebRoy C, Liu Y (2009) Food Anal Methods 2:169-179

- Fricker M, Messelhäusser U, Busch U, Scherer S, Ehling-Schulz M (2007) Appl Environ Microbiol 73:1892–1898
- Gyles CL (2007) J Anim Sci 85(E. Suppl):E45-E62
- Hilborn ED, Mshar PA, Fiorentino TR, Dembek ZF, Barrett TJ, Howard RT, Cartter ML (2000) Epidemiol Infect 124:31–36
- Hong J, Jung WK, Kim JM, Kim SH, Koo HC, Ser J, Park YH (2007) J Food Prot 70:2015–2022
- Honish L, Predy G, Hislop N, Chui L, Kowalewska-Grochowska K, Trottier L, Kreplin C, Zazulak I (2005) Can J Public Health 96:182–184
- Hoorfar J, Malorny B, Abdulmawjood A, Cook N, Wagner M, Fach P (2004) J Clin Microbiol 42:1863–1868
- Ibeweke AM, Watt PM, Grieve CM, Sharma VK, Lyons SR (2002) Appl Environ Microbiol 68:4853–4862
- Malorny B, Yassios PT, Rådström P, Cook N, Wagner M, Hoorfar J (2003) Int J Food Microbiol 83:39–48
- Olsen SJ, Miller G, Breuer T, Kennedy M, Higgins C, Walford J, McKee G, Fox K, Bibb W, Mead P (2002) Emerg Infect Dis 8:370–375

- Omiccioli E, Amagliani G, Brandi G, Magnani M (2009) Food Microbiol 26:615-622
- Rådström P, Knutsson R, Wolffs P, Dahlenborg M, Löfström C (2003) In: Sachse K, Frey J (ed) PCR detection of microbial pathogens. Humana Press, Totowa NJ, pp 31–50
- Rangel JM, Sparling PH, Crowe C, Griffin PM, Swerdlow DL (2005) Emerg Infect Dis 11:603–609
- Reid SD, Selander RK, Whittam TS (1999) J Bacteriol 181:153-160
- Rudi K, Nogva HK, Moen B, Nissen H, Bredholt S, Møretrø T, Naterstad K, Holck A (2002) Int J Food Microbiol 78:171–80
- Sharma VK, Dean-Nystrom EA (2003) Vet Microbiol 93:247–260 Sivapalasingam S, Friedman CR, Cohen L, Tauxe RV (2004) J Food
- Prot 67:2342–2353
- Smith JL, Fratamico PM (2005) In: Fratamico PM, Bhunia AK, Smith JL (eds) Foodborne pathogens: microbiology and molecular biology. Caister Academic, Norfolk UK, pp 357–382
- Wang L, Li Y, Mustaphai A (2007) J Food Prot 70:1366-1372
- Wendel AM, Johnson DH, Sharapov U, Grant J, Archer JR, Monson T, Koschmann C, Davis JP (2009) Clin Infect Dis 48:1079–1086